arXiv:1702.05165v1 [quant-ph] 16 Feb 2017

Improving fiber based quantum communication by adding chromatic dispersion

Mikołaj Lasota and Piotr Kolenderski
*Faculty of Physics, Astronomy and Informatics, Nicolaus Copernicus University, Grudziadzka 5, 87-100 Toruń, Poland**

Although sources of photon pairs are necessary for the realization of many quantum communication protocols, the problem of optimization of the properties of photons produced by such devices for the aforementioned tasks has not yet been solved completely. In this work we theoretically demonstrate the possibility to extend the maximal distance of secure quantum communication in asymmetric setup configuration by manipulating the spectral correlation within a pair of photons produced by the source and optimizing the amount of chromatic dispersion affecting them during their propagation in quantum channels. In particular, we show that in some situations it can be beneficial for the trusted parties to introduce more dispersion in the shorter arm of the setup, which is contrary to the common expectations in typical case.

I. INTRODUCTION

While in theory quantum communication (QC) protocols can provide their legitimate participants (called traditionally Alice and Bob) with unconditionally secure way of exchanging information, numerous imperfections of the currently available setup elements impose strong limitation on the security distance of their practical implementations [1]. One of the most basic requirements for the realization of many QC protocols are photon-pair sources. Realistic devices of this kind are usually based on nonlinear optical processes, like spontaneous parametric down-conversion (SPDC) [2–7] or four-wave mixing [8, 9]. Pairs of photons generated by these sources can be strongly correlated in many degrees of freedom.

However, although their utilization in the field of quantum communication has been very popular, the problem of optimization of the properties of photons produced by such sources for QC tasks has not yet been analyzed exhaustively. Only recently it was shown that changing the type of spectral correlation between the photons propagating in a dispersive medium can lead to the reduction of the temporal width of the wavepacket arriving at the detection system [10]. This observation was subsequently used to improve the security of QC protocols performed in a symmetric setup configuration where a source of photon pairs is located in the middle of the distance separating Alice and Bob. Such possibility was demonstrated using the BB84 quantum key distribution (QKD) protocol [11].

In this paper we consider an asymmetric setup configuration, presented in Fig. 1, in which the source of photon pairs is within Alice's laboratory and the transmission takes place over a fiber link towards Bob. We show that it can be possible to extend the maximal security distance (the fiber length between Alice and Bob) just by 1) manipulating the spectral correlation within a pair of photons and 2) optimizing the amount of chromatic dispersion introduced in Alice's part of the setup. Such an improvement can be observed in the case when Bob uses the method of temporal filtering to reduce the detection noise, but the global time reference, according to which the source produces photons, is not accessible for him.

*Electronic address: kolenderski@fizyka.umk.pl

II. QKD SETUP



FIG. 1: Sketch of the asymmetric QKD scheme analyzed in our work.

In order to demonstrate the effect mentioned in the previous paragraph we consider standard BB84 protocol. For simplicity of our calculations we assume that the source used by Alice and Bob produces a single pair of photons in every attempt. The characteristic spectral widths of the emitted photons are taken to be $\sigma_A = \sigma_B = 1.5\,\mathrm{THz}$. In order to generate the key Alice and Bob measure the polarization of photons sent from the source to their respective detection systems. Both of them use a pair of free-running single-photon detectors with dark count rate $d = 1\,\mathrm{kHz}$, which is the only source of errors in the analyzed scheme.

Throughout the whole article we assume that the photons sent to Bob propagate through a standard single-mode fiber (SMF) of length L_B, with attenuation coefficient $\alpha_B = 0.2\,\mathrm{dB/km}$ and group velocity dispersion (GVD) equal to $2\beta_B = -2.3 \times 10^{-23}\mathrm{s}^2/\mathrm{km}$. We call it Bob's link from now on. As for the connection between the source and Alice's measurement system (Alice's link) we consider three alternatives here. The first one is the standard SMF fiber of length L_A, with $\alpha_A = \alpha_B$ and $\beta_A = \beta_B$. The second one is the fiber of length L_A, with the same attenuation coefficient and the same magnitude of the dispersion parameter as the dispersion compensating fiber (DCF), but with opposite sign of the

latter quantity. It is called high-dispersion fiber in our work. Finally, we also consider the situation in which the link connecting the source and Alice's detection system is made of only a single dispersion-introducing device. In this case we assume that the device has the same parameters as the commercial dispersion compensation modules [12], except for the opposite sign of the dispersion parameter.

An important assumption that we make in this work is that both the source of photon pairs and Alice's measurement system are located within a single laboratory owned by Alice. Therefore, a potential eavesdropper (Eve) does not have access to the photons sent from the source to Alice's detectors and she cannot attack them in any way. On the other hand, she can perform the most powerful collective attacks on the photons traveling to Bob.

III. TEMPORAL WIDTHS OF SPDC PHOTONS

For the reduction of errors originating from the dark counts, Alice and Bob can apply the method of temporal filtering during the postprocessing stage of the QKD protocol. As a result, they retain only those measurement results that can be successfully paired up in terms of the detection time [13]. In this case, the information on the emission time of all the photon pairs generated by the source is very useful for the trusted parties. However, in this work we assume that only Alice has access to this information. Since the source is located in her laboratory synchronization with her own detection system is natural. On the other hand, distributing global time reference signal on considerable distance to Bob during the protocol can be challenging. It requires either using two separate fibers for the quantum and classical transmission or sending strong classical signal through the same fiber as the single photons. While the former of these two solutions may be unreasonable from the economical point of view [14–16], in the latter one the problem with excessive channel noise strongly limits the maximal security distance [17–19]. Therefore, we assume that the global time reference is not distributed to Bob.

Regardless of this, both Alice and Bob can improve the performance of the temporal filtering procedure by sharing the information on the time moments of their detection events. In order to quantify this improvement we adopt the mathematical formalism developed in Ref. [10], where the analysis was performed for the case of the symmetric QKD setup with the photon pair source located in the middle of the distance separating Alice and Bob. It can be shown that in the asymmetric case, when the global time reference and the information on the detection time of the corresponding photon registered by Bob's measurement system are both available to Alice, the temporal width of a photon entering her measurement system is given by the following formula:

$$\tau_{Ah}(\sigma_A,\sigma_B)=\sqrt{\frac{\left[g(-x_Ax_B)\right]^2+(x_A+x_B)^2}{2\sigma_A^2 g(x_B^2)}}, \tag{1}$$

where we define $g(x)=1+x(1-\rho^2)$, ρ denotes the spectral correlation coefficient for the photon pair, and $x_Y=2\sigma_Y^2\beta_Y L_Y$ for $Y=A,B$.

Similarly, the temporal width of a photon entering Bob's measurement system, provided that he has only the information on the detection time of the corresponding photon sent to Alice, can be written as

$$\tau_{Bh}(\sigma_B,\sigma_A)=\sqrt{\frac{\left[g(x_A^2)\sigma_B^2+g(x_B^2)\sigma_A^2+2g(-x_Ax_B)\sigma_A\sigma_B\rho\right]}{2\sigma_A^2\sigma_B^2\left[g(x_A^2)g(x_B^2)-[g(-x_Ax_B)]^2\rho^2\right]}}\times\sqrt{\left[g(-x_Ax_B)\right]^2+(x_A+x_B)^2}. \tag{2}$$

Finally, in the case when Alice knows the global time reference, but has no information on Bob's detection event, the temporal width of the photon entering her own measurement system can be described by the following formula [10]:

$$\tau_A(\sigma_A)=\sqrt{\frac{g(x_A^2)}{2\sigma_A^2(1-\rho^2)}}. \tag{3}$$

IV. SECURITY OF QUANTUM KEY DISTRIBUTION

The most basic quantity commonly used to describe the security of QKD protocols is the key generation rate [20]. The following analysis is based on the framework detailed in the supplementary material in Ref. [10]. In particular the duration time of a single detection window for a given photon of temporal width τ is taken to be 6τ. Let us assume Alice has access to the information on both the emission time of a given signal photon and the detection time of the corresponding photon sent to Bob. The probability for registering a dark count by Alice's detection system during a single detection window is equal to

$$P_{Ah}=12d\tau_{Ah}, \tag{4}$$

where τ_{Ah} is given by (1). In analogous way, by using the quantities τ_A and τ_{Bh}, introduced in the formulas (3) and (2) respectively, we define the probability P_A for registering a dark count by one of Alice's detectors when she has only the information on the emission time of her signal photon, and the probability P_{Bh} for registering a dark count by one of Bob's detectors when he has only the information on the detection time of Alice's photon.

Assuming that the trusted parties utilize the setup illustrated in Fig. 1 to realize the BB84 protocol [11] and that Eve is able to perform the most general collective

attacks on the photons travelling to Bob, one can derive the following lower bound on the key generation rate

$$K = p_{\text{exp}} \left[1 - H(\text{QBER}) - H(\text{QBER}_E)\right], \tag{5}$$

where

$$H(x) = -x \log_2 x - (1-x)\log_2(1-x) \tag{6}$$

is the Shannon entropy. In the formula (5) the quantity p_{exp} denotes the probability that after the emission of a single pair of photons both Alice and Bob get a click at least in one of their detectors and accept a given event for the process of key generation. Furthermore, quantum bit error rate (QBER) represents the ratio of different bits (*i.e.* errors) in Alice's and Bob's versions of the raw key. Finally, QBER_E is the ratio of errors that can be exploited by Eve during her attacks. In the case of the setup pictured in Fig. 1, one has $\text{QBER}_E < \text{QBER}$ since Eve does not have access to Alice's laboratory. This means that Eve cannot take advantage of the part of errors in the raw key which appear solely due to a dark count registered in Alice's detection system, while at the same time the photon sent to Bob is transmitted and measured successfully.

It is not difficult to show that for the analyzed scheme the probability p_{exp} can be approximated by

$$\begin{aligned} p_{\text{exp}} \;&\approx\; T_A T_B + T_A(1-T_B)P_{Bh} + (1-T_A)T_B P_{Ah} \\ &+\; (1-T_A)(1-T_B)P_A P_{Bh}, \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

where T_A (T_B) is the transmittance of Alice's (Bob's) link. If Alice's link is made of a fiber with the attenuation coefficient α_A, T_A can be calculated as

$$T_A = 10^{-\frac{\alpha_A L_A}{10}}. \tag{8}$$

The transmittance T_B, is defined in the analogous way. The dark counts occur in the detectors randomly. Therefore there is always 50% chance for an error in Bob's version of the key when at least one of the signal photons emitted by the source is lost, but a given event is still accepted by the trusted parties for the process of key generation. On the other hand, if both signal photons reach the measurement systems of Alice and Bob, they can be sure that the results obtained by them are perfectly correlated. If so, the expected value of QBER can be calculated by utilizing the formula

$$\text{QBER} = \frac{p_{\text{exp}} - T_A T_B}{2p_{\text{exp}}}, \tag{9}$$

while the ratio of errors that Eve can exploit equals

$$\text{QBER}_E = \frac{p_{\text{exp}} - T_A T_B - (1-T_A)T_B P_{Ah}}{2p_{\text{exp}}}. \tag{10}$$

V. OPTIMAL DISPERSION ADVANTAGE

We start the presentation of the results of our calculations by focusing on the situation when Alice's link is made of SMF fiber. Intuitively one would expect that extending the length of this fiber, L_A, while keeping the length L_B of Bob's link fixed, would always decrease the key generation rate. It is because even if Eve does not have access to Alice's link, the longer it is, the more losses of signal photons can be observed by the trusted parties during the transmission. However, in some situations the above conclusion turns out to be valid only when the length of Bob's link is not very large. Such a situation is illustrated in Fig. 2, where the value of key generation rate, calculated for $\rho = 0.9$, was plotted as a function of L_A for a few different values of L_B. Surprisingly, one can observe that when the length of Bob's link becomes close to the maximal security distance, the generation of secure key turns out to be impossible for small L_A although it is still possible for some limited region of longer L_A. As an example we indicate in Fig. 2 the minimal and maximal values of the length of Alice's link for which the generation of secure key between the trusted parties can be possible for $L_B = 194\,\text{km}$. They are denoted by L_A^{min} and L_A^{max} respectively.



FIG. 2: Lower bound for the key generation rate K that can be obtained by Alice and Bob when using the QKD setup pictured in Fig.1. It is plotted as a function of Alice's link length L_A. The plots show simulation results for fixed correlation coefficient $\rho = 0.9$ and six different lengths of Bob's link, L_B, indicated in the picture.

The counterintuitive behaviour of the secure key generation rate, K, described above, can be explained in the following way. Since the photons produced by the source do not have a single well-defined wavelength, they are affected by the temporal broadening effect when they propagate through a dispersive medium. For a long fiber this phenomenon becomes the main factor influencing the required duration time of the detection windows in Bob's measurement system, which have to be established in order for him not to lose considerable amount of signal photons. However, even if Bob does not have any information on the emission time of a given photon, he can be able to narrow these windows by using an additional knowledge about the detection time of Alice's photon [10]. This is because the detection times of any



FIG. 3: Maximal length L_B of Bob's link, for which it can be possible for the trusted parties to generate secure key by using the setup pictured in Fig.1, plotted as a function of the length L_A of the SMF fiber connecting the source with Alice's measurement system and the spectral correlation coefficient ρ. The values displayed in the figure are given in kilometers.

pair of photons produced by the SPSC source are related to each other. While for large difference between the lengths of Alice's and Bob's links this relation strongly depends on the wavelengths of the two photons, the dependence becomes the weakest for the case of $L_A = L_B$. Therefore, if the fiber connecting the source of photon pairs with Alice's measurement system is very short the information about the detection time of a given photon sent to her is less useful for Bob, than in the case when L_A and L_B are comparable to each other. However, in Fig. 2 one can see that the optimal value of L_A for a given L_B always fulfill the inequality $L_A < L_B$. The reason why in the best case scenario these two quantities are not equal to each other is that the growing losses and excessive amount of errors registered by Alice's measurement system when the length of her fiber grows can wash out the advantage of additional dispersion before it reaches the value of L_B.

In the situation in which both links connecting the source of photon pairs with the trusted parties are made of standard SMFs the effect of extending the maximal security distance L_B by increasing L_A can be observed only when the type of spectral correlation within a photon pair is positive. This can be seen in Fig. 3, where we plotted the maximal secure length of Bob's link as a function of the length of Alice's link, L_A, and the spectral correlation coefficient, ρ. One can see that when $\rho \leq 0$ the increase of L_A always leads to the reduction of the maximal secure value of L_B. This is because the detection windows for Bob's measurement process cannot be narrowed as much as for the case of $\rho > 0$. This narrowing turns out to be insufficient to overcome the negative effect of increasing the amount of dark counts registered by Alice's measurement system with growing L_A. In the end, Fig. 3 shows that for the chosen values of the setup parameters it is possible to extend the maximal secure distance (Bob's link length) by about 10% (more than 20 km). In practice this can be done by replacing a standard SPDC source producing pairs with negative spectral correlation with another source generating positively correlated pairs [5–7] and properly adjusting the length of Alice's link.

The results depicted in Fig. 2 and Fig. 3 correspond to the case when Alice's link is made of standard SMF. Now we consider more general situation. In Fig. 4 we show the dependence of the key generation rate, K, on the dispersion, $\beta_A L_A$, and losses, $\alpha_A L_A$, of photons introduced by Alice's fiber, calculated for the spectral correlation coefficient $\rho = 0.9$ and three different values of Bob's link length, L_B. This picture illustrates how QKD security can be influenced by changing the type of the fiber utilized by Alice. A given line ($\beta_A L_A$,$\alpha_A L_A$) corresponds to a fiber with certain parameters β_A and α_A. In Fig. 4 we plot such lines for the cases of SMF and high-dispersion fibers. From this picture it can be concluded that utilizing high-dispersion fiber instead of the SMF can be beneficial for the trusted parties, since it may allow them to generate secure cryptographic key even for the distances $L_B > 200$ km (see panel (c) in Fig. 4). Moreover, the analysis presented here allows to compare the performance of these two fibers with the dispersion-introducing device [12] described before. The pairs of values of the dispersion and losses introduced by it are marked with black dots in Fig. 4. As can be seen in this picture, this device has even more potential for the QKD applications than the high-dispersion fiber, although for very long L_B it would be necessary for Alice to place more than one such setup element between the source and her detection system in order to reach the necessary value of dispersion.

Fig.4 can be very useful for the experimentalists planning their own QKD realizations since it allows for the comparison of the performance of any type of fiber or other dispersion-introducing device with the same sign (*i.e.* positive) of the dispersion parameter as the SMF utilized for Bob's link. On the other hand, for the situation when these signs are opposite to each other (*e.g.* when Alice uses typical DCF fiber or dispersion-compensating device), one would obtain exactly analogous pictures as in Fig. 4 by taking $\rho = -0.9$. Therefore, in this case it would be beneficial for Alice and Bob to utilize standard SPDC source with negative spectral correlation coefficient.

VI. SUMMARY

In this work we performed security analysis of the BB84 QKD protocol in order to demonstrate how to extend the maximal security distance between the trusted



FIG. 4: Key generation rate, K, as a function of the dispersion and losses introduced in Alice's link, calculated for $\rho = 0.9$ and a) $L_B = 185\,\mathrm{km}$, b) $L_B = 195\,\mathrm{km}$ and c) $L_B = 200\,\mathrm{km}$. Blue solid (dashed) line denotes pairs of values of dispersion, $\beta_A L_A$, and losses, $\alpha_A L_A$, introduced by a standard SMF fiber (high-dispersion fiber) of different lengths. Black dots correspond to the dispersion-introducing module [12].

parties in the asymmetric QC scenario. To this end, we utilized the idea for narrowing the temporal width of photons emitted from the source of photon pairs by manipulating the type of spectral correlation introduced by the source, proposed in [10]. Surprisingly, we found out that in some situations the improvement can be notably larger if Alice introduces certain amount of dispersion in her part of the setup. Such effect can be observed in the case when Bob uses the method of temporal filtering to reduce the detection noise, but the global time reference, according to which the source produces photons, is not distributed to him. Moreover, we presented a series of figures allowing for the explicit comparison of the performace of different kind of dispersive setup elements that can be used by Alice. The results of our work can be of potential interest in the context of performing QC experiments with the use of bright fibers, populated by strong classical signals, since in such environment the method of temporal filtering can be especially useful to reduce the excessive channel noise [13].

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge support by the National Laboratory of Atomic, Molecular and Optical Physics, Torun, Poland and financial support by Fundacja na rzecz Nauki Polskiej (FNP) (project Homing Plus grant no. 2013-7/9); Ministerstwo Nauki i Szkolnictwa Wyszego (MNiSW) (project Iuventus Plus grant no. IP2014 020873, grant no. 6576/IA/SP/2016). We wish to thank Karolina Sedziak for insightful discussions.

[1] G. Brassard, N. Lütkenhaus, T. Mor, and B. C. Sanders, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 1330 (2000), arXiv:quant-ph/9911054 .
[2] P. G. Kwiat, E. Waks, A. G. White, I. Appelbaum, and P. H. Eberhard, Phys. Rev. A **60**, R773 (1999).
[3] S. Fasel, F. Robin, E. Moreno, D. Erni, N. Gisin, and H. Zbinden, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 110501 (2005), quant-ph/0410064 .
[4] E. Pomarico, B. Sanguinetti, T. Guerreiro, R. Thew, and H. Zbinden, Opt. Express **20**, 23846 (2012).
[5] R. Shimizu and K. Edamatsu, Opt. Express **17**, 16385 (2009).
[6] T. Lutz, P. Kolenderski, and T. Jennewein, Opt. Lett. **38**, 697 (2013).
[7] T. Lutz, P. Kolenderski, and T. Jennewein, Opt. Lett. **39**, 1481 (2014), arXiv:1309.4040 .
[8] E. Meyer-Scott, V. Roy, J.-P. Bourgoin, B. L. Higgins, L. K. Shalm, and T. Jennewein, Optics Express **21**, 6205 (2013).
[9] E. Meyer-Scott, A. Dot, R. Ahmad, L. Li, M. Rochette, and T. Jennewein, Appl. Phys. Lett. **106**, 081111 (2015).
[10] K. Sedziak, M. Lasota, and P. Kolenderski, Optica **4**, 84 (2017), 1607.01783v1 .
[11] C. Bennett and G. Brassard, in *Proceedings IEEE Int. Conf. on Computers, Systems and Signal Processing* (Bangalore, India, 1984) pp. 175–179.
[12] "Dispersion compensation modules (arris)," https://www.arris.com/globalassets/resources/data-sheets/dispersion-compensation-modules-data-sheet.pdf, accessed: 2017-01-31.
[13] K. A. Patel, J. F. Dynes, I. Choi, A. W. Sharpe, A. R. Dixon, Z. L. Yuan, R. V. Penty, and A. J. Shields, Phys. Rev. X **2**, 041010 (2012).
[14] P. D. Townsend, Nature (London) **385**, 47 (1997).

[15] C. Elliott, New J. Phys. **4**, 46 (2002).
[16] R. J. Runser, T. Chapuran, T. Toliver, N. A. Peters, M. S. Goodman, J. T. Kosloski, N. Nweke, R. S. McNown, R. J. Hughes, D. Rosenberg, C. G. Peterson, K. P. McCabe, J. E. Nordholt, K. Tyagi, P. A. Hiskett, and N. Dallmann, in *Proc. SPIE Optoelectronic Integrated Circuits IX*, Vol. 6476 (2007) p. 6476OI.
[17] D. Subacius, A. Zavriyev, and A. Trifonov, Appl. Phys. Lett. **86**, 011103 (2005).
[18] N. A. Peters, P. Toliver, T. E. Chapuran, R. J. Runser, S. R. McNown, C. G. Peterson, D. Rosenberg, N. Dallmann, R. J. Hughes, K. P. McCabe, J. E. Nordholt, and K. T. Tyagi, New J. Phys. **11**, 045012 (2009).
[19] P. Eraerds, N. Walenta, M. Legré, N. Gisin, and H. Zbinden, New J. Phys. **2**, 063027 (2010).
[20] V. Scarani, H. Bechmann-Pasquinucci, N. J. Cerf, M. Dušek, N. Lütkenhaus, and M. Peev, Rev. Mod. Phys. **81**, 1301 (2009).